# Dirt Cheap: The Cost Of Erosion (Why Be Compliant?) 

Erosion costs you money with every rain event


Eddie Snell
Applied Polymer Systems, Inc.

What If There Were No Rules Requiring Erosion And Sediment Mitigation?



## Like: Back In The Day



## Operating Costs:

- Equipment costs (rental/depreciation)
- Fuel
- Operators
- Site Delivery (soil)
- Labor
- Insurance
- Inspection/Survey


## Road Construction Design Cost Estimates




| mph | Idaho $10-12 \mathrm{CY}$ End Dump $\$ / \mathrm{CY}-$ Mile | Idaho <br> $10-12 \mathrm{CY}$ <br> End <br> Dump <br> \$/Ton- <br> Mile | Idaho <br> 18 CY <br> Bottom <br> Dump <br> \$/Cr- <br> Mile | Idahe 18 CY <br> Bottom <br> Dump <br> \$/Ton- <br> Mile | Montana <br> $10-12 \mathrm{CY}$ <br> End <br> Dump <br> $\$ / \mathrm{CY}-$ <br> Mile | Montana <br> $10-12 \mathrm{CY}$ <br> End <br> Dump <br> $\$ /$ Ton- <br> Mile | Montans <br> 18 CY <br> Bottom <br> Dump <br> $\$ / \mathrm{Cr}$ <br> Mile | Montans <br> 18 cY <br> Bottom <br> Dump <br> $\$ /$ Ton- <br> Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | \$2.74 | \$2.03 | \$1.60 | \$1.19 | \$2.92 | \$2.16 | 51.70 | 51.26 |
| 15 | \$1.82 | \$1.39 | \$1.07 | 50.79 | \$1.94 | \$1.44 | 51.14 | 50.84 |
| 20 | \$1.37 | \$1.01 | \$0.80 | 50.59 | \$1.46 | \$1.08 | 50.35 | 50.63 |
| 25 | \$1.09 | \$0.81 | \$0.64 | \$0.47 | \$1.17 | \$0.86 | 50.68 | 50.51 |
| 30 | \$0.91 | \$0.68 | \$0.53 | \$0.40 | \$0.97 | \$0.72 | 50.57 | 50.42 |
| 40 | \$0.68 | \$0.51 | \$0.40 | 50.30 | \$0.73 | \$0.54 | 50.43 | 50.32 |
| 50 | \$0.59 | \$0.41 | \$0.32 | 50.24 | \$0.78 | \$0.43 | 50.34 | 50.25 |

The total houl cost is the 2 um of the variable corty plus fixed corts
Total Haul Cast $=$ Total Variable Cost + Total Fixed Costs
Houl Caiculation Example
Given: Montans Zone 1
10-12 End Dump, 30 mph , 5 mile hsul
900 LOOSE CY

| Road Segment | Average Speed <br> Roundtrip <br> (mph) | Length <br> (Miles) | $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { (Cubic Yard-Mile } \\ \text { ( } \$ / \text { Ton-Mile) }\end{array}\right.$Loose CY <br> (Tons) | Varisble Cost |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP 5.0 | 30 | 5 | $\$ 0.97$ | 500 | $\$ 2425$ |

$$
\text { Fixed Cost }=500 \mathrm{CY} x \$ 2.43=\$ 1215
$$

$$
\text { Total Haul Cost }=\$ 2425+1215=\$ 3640
$$

$$
\text { Unit Haul Cost }=\frac{\$ 3640}{500 \mathrm{CY}}=\$ 7.28 / \mathrm{CY}
$$

Engineers Estimated Unit Cost $=\frac{s}{C Y} \times$ ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR PUBLIC WORKS DAVIS - BACON ZONES
Engineers Estimated Unit Cost $=\$ 7.28 x 0.97=\$ 7.06$
Specified Road Unit Cost $=\frac{1 / C Y}{\text { ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR CONSTMUCTION WACE RATE DIFFERENTLALS }}$

$$
\text { Specified Road Unit Cost }=\frac{\$ 7.06}{1.12}=\$ 6.30
$$

Table 12-Graders, Motor: (Basic machine plus EROPS and rear scarifiers):

| Model | Engine | Moldboard size | Hourly Rate (\$) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HP | Feet | AZ, NM, UT | CO, ID, KS, NE, NV | CA, SD, WY |
| Caterpillar 120H 12' 125hp | 125 | 12 | 39.93 | 68.22 | 39.93 |
| Caterpillar 12H 12' 145hp | 145 | 12 | 45.54 | 78.77 | 45.54 |
| Deere 770C II 12' 155hp | 155 | 12 | 52.25 | 92.09 | 62.25 |
| Caterpillar 14H 14' 220hp | 220 | 14 | 69.36 | 133.27 | 69.36 |
| Caterpillar 16H 16' 285hp | 285 | 16 | 88.50 | 173.60 | 88.50 |

Table 13 - Hydraulic Excavators: Crawler mounted tractor, with thumb, diesel powered:

| Model | Capacity | Weight | Hourly Rate (\$) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cubic Yards | Tons | AZ, ID, NM, UT | CO, KS, NE, NV | CA, SD, WY |
| Caterpillar 312C L 0.68cy 90 hp | 0.68 | 14 | 73.69 | 75.76 | 79.16 |
| Caterpillar 315C L 0.77cy 110 hp | 0.77 | 18 | 87.30 | 89.70 | 93.65 |
| Caterpillar 320C L 1.25 cy 138 hp | 1.25 | 23 | 114.66 | 117.80 | 122.99 |
| Caterpillar 325C L 1.5 cy 186 hp | 1.25 | 30 | 134.18 | 137.62 | 143.31 |
| Caterpillar 330C L 2.25 cy 244 hp | 2.25 | 38 | 161.56 | 165.58 | 172.23 |
| Caterpillar 345B L Series II 2.5 cy 321 hp | 2.50 | 49 | 234.97 | 241.08 | 251.14 |
| Caterpillar 365B L Series II 3.61 cy 404 hp | 3.60 | 75 | 298.04 | 305.62 | 318.13 |
| Caterpillar 385B L 6.0 cy 513 hp | 6.00 | 94 | 390.60 | 400.95 | 418.03 |

Table 14 - MINI - Hydraulic Excavators: Crawler mounted tractor, diesel powered:

| Model | Capacity | Hourly Rate (\$) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cubic Yards | AZ, ID, NM, UT | CO, KS, NE, NV | CA, SD, WY |
| Deere 17ZTS(ROPS) 0.05cy bucket 12.3hp | 0.05 | 11.60 | 11.88 | 12.36 |
| CAT 303.5C CR Cab 0.27cy bucket 22.9hp | 0.07 | 23.17 | 23.74 | 24.69 |

Table 29 - Pickups and flatbeds:

| Axle Configuration | Capacity |  | Hourly Rate (\$) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cubic Yards | AZ,ID,NM,UT | CO,KS,NE,NV | CA,SD,WY |
| $4 \times 2$ | $5-6$ | 44.80 | 45.43 | 46.35 |
| $6 \times 4$ | $8-10$ | 69.91 | 70.80 | 72.10 |
| $6 \times 4$ | $10-12$ | 87.73 | 88.86 | 90.52 |
| $6 \times 4$ | $12-18$ | 94.66 | 96.03 | 98.04 |

Table 30 - Rear dump, highway type, diesel powered:

| Axle Configuration | Capacity |  | Hourly Rate (\$) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cubic Yards | AZ,ID,NM,UT | CO,KS,NE,NV | CA,SD,WY |
| $4 \times 2$ | $5-6$ | 44.80 | 45.43 | 46.35 |
| $6 \times 4$ | $8-10$ | 69.91 | 70.80 | 72.10 |
| $6 \times 4$ | $10-12$ | 87.73 | 88.86 | 90.52 |
| $6 \times 4$ | $12-18$ | 94.66 | 96.03 | 98.04 |

Table 31 - Water tankers, highway:

| Fuel | Capacity |  | Hourly Rate (\$) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gallons | AZ,ID,NM,UT | CO,KS,NE,NV | CA,SD,WY |
| Gasoline | 1500 | 47.36 | 47.90 | 48.69 |
| Gasoline | 2500 | 48.47 | 49.06 | 49.91 |
| Diesel | 2500 | 36.49 | 37.11 | 38.02 |
| Diesel | 3000 | 44.16 | 44.90 | 45.98 |
| Diesel | 3500 | 57.84 | 58.74 | 60.06 |
| Diesel | 4000 | 65.67 | 66.91 | 68.71 |

## Hypothetical Costs: 5 acre area/2 days

>2 Dozers
$>2$ Compactors
>1 Grader
$>2$ Dump Trucks
$>7$ Operators
>6 Laborers
$>5$ Loads of Fill Soil

|  | Unit |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment | Number | Cost/Hr. | Subtotal/Hr. | x 8 Hrs. | 2 Day Cost |  |
| Dozer | 2 | 65 | 130 | 1040 | 2080 |  |
| Compactor | 2 | 50 | 100 | 800 | 1600 |  |
| Grader | 1 | 50 | 50 | 400 | 800 |  |
| Dump Truck | 2 | 55 | 110 | 880 | 1760 |  |
| Equipment Operators | 7 | 46 | 322 | 2576 | 5152 |  |
| Laborers | 6 | 40 | 240 | 1920 | 3840 |  |
| Fill Dirt | 5 | 100 | 500 | 500 | 500 |  |
| LOW TOTAL |  |  |  | $\$ 1,452$ | $\$ 8,116$ | $\$ 15,732$ |


|  | Unit |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | Number | Cost/Hr. | Subtotal/Hr. | x 8 Hrs. | 2 Day Cost |  |
| Dozer | 2 | 70 | 140 | 1120 | 2240 |  |
| Compactor | 2 | 62 | 124 | 992 | 1984 |  |
| Grader | 1 | 75 | 75 | 600 | 1200 |  |
| Dump Truck | 2 | 65 | 130 | 1040 | 2080 |  |
| Equipment Operators | 7 | 50 | 350 | 2800 | 5600 |  |
| Laborers | 6 | 41 | 246 | 1968 | 3936 |  |
| Fill Dirt | 5 | 200 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |  |
| AVG. TOTAL |  |  |  | $\$ 2,065$ | $\$ 9,520$ | $\$ 18,040$ |


| Equipment | Number |  |  | Subtotal/Hr. | $x 8$ Hrs. | 2 Day Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dozer |  | 2 | 75 | 150 | 1200 | 2400 |
| Compactor |  | 2 | 80 | 160 | 1280 | 2560 |
| Grader |  | 1 | 90 | 90 | 720 | 1440 |
| Dump Truck |  | 2 | 70 | 140 | 1120 | 2240 |
| Equipment Operators |  | 7 | 65 | 455 | 3640 | 7280 |
| Laborers |  | 6 | 42 | 252 | 2016 | 4032 |
| Fill Dirt |  | 5 | 400 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| HIGH TOTAL |  |  |  | \$3,247 | \$11,976 | \$21,952 |


|  | Hypothetical Costs For 5 Acre Grading |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 25,000$ |  | $\$ 21,952$ |
| $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 18,040$ |  |
| $\$ 15,000$ |  |  |
| $\$ 10,000$ |  |  |
| $\$ 0,000$ |  |  |

## PREDICTING SOIL LOSS

## THE REVISED UNIVERSAL SOIL LOSS EQUATION (RUSLE)

$$
A=R \times K \times L \times S \times C \times P
$$

There are 6 major factors affecting soil loss according to the RUSLE:

A = Average annual soil loss (tons/acre)
$R=$ Rainfall erosion index (100 ft. tons/acre-in/hour)
K= Soil erodibility factor (soil survey data)
L = Slope length factor (dimensionless)
S = Slope gradient factor (dimensionless)
C = Vegetative cover factor (dimensionless)
$\mathrm{P}=$ Erosion control practices factor (contractor)

## PREDICTING SOIL LOSS

## A - The Soil Loss Factor

This is an estimated annual average of the soil eroded from the site in an average year.


## PREDICTING SOIL LOSS

- R - The Rainfall Erosion Index
- This is a measure of erosive force/intensity of rain in a normal year.
*Current Isoerodent Map (EPA2001)



## PREDICTING SOIL LOSS

## Comparing Rainfall Factors Universal Soil Loss Equation

PACIFIC NORTHWEST

$R=500-600$


- Although the Olympian Rain Forest receives twice the annual rainfall of Florida, the R Factors in Florida are much greater.
- Due to rain drop geometry and rainfall intensity Florida has a climate with very erosive conditions (high R Factors).

HAWAII


## PREDICTING SOIL LOSS

- K - The Soil Erodibility Factor
- A measure of the soil's susceptibility to detachment and transport by rainfail and runoff.

http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov


## PREDICTING SOIL LOSS

- L and S - The Slope Length and the Gradient Factors
- This is described by the combined effect of slope length and slope gradient.



## PREDICTING SOIL LOSS

- C - The Vegetative Cover Factor
- This is the ratio of soil loss from land under specified types of cover to the corresponding loss from tilled or disturbed bare soil.



## PREDICTING SOIL LOSS

- P - The Erosion Control Practices Factor
- This accounts for the selected erosion control practices of the contractor that reduce and control the erosion potential of the runoff by reducing the runoff velocity and the tendency of runoff to flow directly down slope.



## PREDICTING SOIL LOSS



Rainfall is the only factor not under your control!

## What can you do to minimize soil loss?

1. Protect soil from raindrop impact
2. Minimize compaction when appropriate
3. Minimize slope length
4. Minimize slope gradient (steepness)
5. Minimize peak flow


# Project Site 1 

## Sand/Clay Soil

No ESC BMPs
5.0 acres, $L=600 \mathrm{ft}$. $5 \%$ slope, and $K=0.28$

How much sediment can be lost from this site after a 2 -inch $(50-\mathrm{mm})$ rain event?

SY $=24$ cubic yards?
SY $=66$ cubic yards?
SY $=98$ cubic yards?


## 5 Dump Truck Loads Of Soil Lost

# Project Site 1 <br> Sand/Clay Soil <br> 5.0 acres, $L=600 \mathrm{ft}$.,5\% slope, and $K=0.28$ 

## Add Seed and Mulch

After 2.0 inches of rainfall, how much soil will be lost?



After 2.0 inches of rainfall, how many acres of exposed slope lost 66 cubic yards of sediment?
1.0 acres
2.5 acres
4.0 acres



## In Summary:

$>$ Be concerned about sediment yields
>Don't leave bare ground conditions for extended times
$>$ Large amounts of sediment can leave the site
>Stabilize disturbed lands ASAP to save money

## You Have To Spend

 Money To Save Money
## Costs of Erosion:

- It costs between $\$ 35,000-\$ 50,000$ to use a vac-truck to clean out the storm drains on an average multi-family neighborhood.
- It costs between $\$ 8,000-\$ 15,000$ to chemically treat a turbid stormwater pond (3-8 acres).
- There are usually multiple stormwater treatment BMPs (ponds, pipes, vaults, swales, etc.) on an average multi-family neighborhood.
- Worst case scenario where the entire stormwater system must be cleaned and restored to functionality may cost $\$ 100,000$ or more.
- Failure to control erosion costs time, material, and money.



## Vac Truck Clean-out




## Clay Sediment Clogging <br> Infiltration Treatment Pond



## Chemical Treatment of Stormwater Pond






Erosion Exposing 15KV Powerline



## Maintain and Correct This



Before It Leads To This


## Or Becomes Catastrophic



## Contact information:

Applied Polymer Systems, Inc. info@siltstop.com
678-494-5998

Eddie Snell
Eddie.Snell@siltstop.com
404-915-9165

